VOLUME 2 Appendix 10-A to 10-B

REGION 5 NECHES 2023 REGIONAL FLOOD PLAN

JULY 2023

PREPARED FOR THE REGION 5 NECHES FLOOD PLANNING GROUP

APPENDIX 10-A COMMENTS RECEIVED ON DRAFT REGIONAL FLOOD PLAN AND RESPONSES

From: Heather Petkovsek

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 10:02 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Heather Petkovsek From: Karen Berning

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:58 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Karen Berning From: R. M.

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:58 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, R. M. **From:** Joychine Eaglin

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:55 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Joychine Eaglin **From:** Jeff Hoffmann

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:55 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Jeff Hoffmann **From:** George Holmgreen

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:55 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, George Holmgreen **From:** Rosalyn Forster

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:52 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Rosalyn Forster From: Linda Mitchell

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:52 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Linda Mitchell **From:** Diana Williams

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:50 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Diana Williams From: Judy Harman

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:49 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Judy Harman **From:** Jeanette Honermann

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:48 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Jeanette Honermann From: Elizabeth Waddill

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:47 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Elizabeth Waddill From: Alice Russell

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:44 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Alice Russell **From:** Briana Schroeder

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:44 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Briana Schroeder From: Hank Hammett

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:42 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Hank Hammett From: Tara Potts

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:37 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Tara Potts From: Larry Gay

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:36 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Larry Gay **From:** sandra ramos

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:36 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, sandra ramos

From: Cindy Laird

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:36 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Cindy Laird From: Phil Shephard

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 9:34 AM

To: NechesRFPG

Subject: Big Thicket National Preserve needs your help.

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Dear Neches Regional Flood Planning Group,

As a concerned citizen, I would like to see a strong framework and effective flood mitigation projects to reduce the impact of flooding in our communities by using protective nature-based projects in the Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan. As currently written, our communities could be missing a huge opportunity to do the right thing, right from the start.

We know that healthy watersheds and functioning flood plains and wetlands can absorb and slow down flood waters, and thoughtful nature-based projects to conserve and protect these important lands allow local governments to spend less up front, cost less to maintain, and to do more within the region. Restoring wetlands that provide a natural buffer from increasing storms also create excellent wildlife viewing areas. This in turn provides opportunities for local communities to attract tourism dollars from wildlife and outdoor enthusiasts.

We must understand how the projects that are under consideration will impact our local communities from continual flooding and increasing storms, as well as impact the Big Thicket National Preserve, a national park in our own backyard.

The current plan needs more nature-based solutions to reduce the impacts of flooding, and additional information on how effective projects will be in reducing flooding.

We ask for you to continue strengthening the plan and working with local community leaders to incorporate more nature-based projects in the plan to ensure the natural resiliency and continued protection of the Big Thicket region and our communities.

Regards, Phil Shephard From: Moon, Steve

Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2022 12:15 PM

To: Rolando Ayala II

Subject: Comments and Recommendations on DRAFT Regional Flood Plan for Region 5: Neches

This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email. Please report all suspicious messages using the Report Message button in Outlook.

Please see comments and recommendations (below) on the Region 5 Draft Regional Flood Plan for incorporation into the final submission to the TWDB.

Regards,

Steve Moon

CHAPTER	SECTION	PARAGRAPH/ TABLE/ FIGURE	COMMENT
0	ES 3	Table 0-9	Using only the number of critical facilities is a simplistic measure of impact. Life safety, replacement cost, and economic impact should be considered in the overall assessment measures. There is work underway to explore other measures (like improved Vulnerability Indices) which may be more comprehensive in capturing risk and impact. I recommend that consideration be given to future updates with more comparative/comprehensive indices.
0	ES 3	Table 0-9	Goals: Upon reflection, it is worth the TWDB's consideration to set more aggressive goals for the RFPGs to use for flood mitigation. This will certainly challenge the resources required for

			short and long term mitigations, and requires a more aggressive position for flood mitigation and funding. I recommend the TWDB consider the following: 1 - 80% reduction for critical facilities 2 - Increase funding targets to address flood mitigations projects which have yet to be identified from new flood studies and updates to existing flood studies with Atlas 14 data.	
0	ES 4	Table 0-10	This Table does not include cost of industrial facilities' lost production and repairs. FEMA claims do not include estimated costs of industrial facilities' lost production and repairs. This vastly under-records the total dollar value of losses. Recommend including industrial facilities' estimated cost of lost production and repairs in Factors Considered.	
0	ES 4	Figure 0-2	Recommend shading be revised so that the flood need score color (red) in Port Arthur, Nederland, and Beaumont is shown rather than the municipality color (gray). Gray color gives the impression flood need is low.	
1	1.A.1.a	Figure 1-2	There appears to be two southern boundaries of Region 5 (dark green line.) This is confusing. Recommend revising Figure 1-2 (and subsequent Figures) to show a single southern boundary of Region 5	
1	1.B	first paragraph	Add: stormwater pumps to bullet list	
1	1.B.2.i	new	Jefferson County Drainage District 7 (DD7) operates and maintains an extensive system of stormwater pump stations. It is not listed in Section 1.B.2; however, this is important information to include in the assessment of protection level in southern Jefferson County.	
1	1.B.3.b	first paragraph	First paragraph Port Arthur Levee/Floodwall system protects a major portion of southern Jefferson County including Cities of Port Arthur, Groves, Neches, Nederland, and Port Neches, and many critic facilities. Recommend an FME be included in this cycle to evaluate the safety of this levee/floodwall system (or confirm this has already been done by USACE or DD7.)	
2	2.A.2	Appendix 2-A Map 4 Figure 26 of 29	Appendix 2-A, Map 4, Figure 26 of 29, Existing Condition Flood Hazard, indicates industrial facilities within the DD7 stormwater system are within the existing 0.2% (500 yr.) flood plain. The DD7 stormwater pumps that protect this area are only designed for a 25 yr. (TP 40) event. Recommend the map be revised to show this area as a Flood Prone Area shaded in "pink".	

Thank you for your consideration.

Steve Moon

Volume	PDF Page	Chapter	Section	Comments / ?'s
	15	Exec Sum	LIST OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS	Include HWM's (high water marks)
1	26		TABLE 0-3: NON-VOTING MEMBERSHIP OF THE REGION 5 FLOOD PLANNING GROUP	Might want to remove punctuation after Natalie Johnson name
1	28	Exec Sum	ES 2. Flood Risk Analysis	"Flood risks were assessed for the 1 percent annual chance and 0.2 percent annual chance events". May want to additionally specify 'according to Atlas 14 and/or best available data'.
1	29	Ever Sum	ES 2. Flood Risk Analysis	"As a result, most of the flood risk across the region is not well quantified, meaning that people and their property may be unknowingly in harm's way". May want to additioanlly include'or lack understanding of optential flood depths and frequencies for a particular site."
-		Exce Juin	ES EL FIGORITATION SISTEMATION	
			TABLE 0-8: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS	New Deveopment, Habitable Structures Realistically, should BFE recommendations for new development be higher than that? For example: 'All habitable structures in coastal communities should be designed such that finished floor elevations are (a minimum of) 3 feet above the BFE including the combined riverine and coastal effects'. Similar comment for non-coastal, and also critical facilities.
1	32 36	Exec Sum Exec Sum	TABLE 0-8: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS FIGURE 0-2: FLOOD MITIGATION NEED BY HUC12 WATERSHED	designed such that finished floor elevations are (a minimum of) 3 feet above the BFE including the combined riverine and coastal effects'. Similar comment for non-coastal, and also critical facilities. Does the eary "mass," over cities need to be on, or could it for order to see flood need for those areas?
1	39		TABLE 0-12: RECOMMENDED FMSS BY STRATEGY TYPE	Maybe use an apostrophe for FMS's, FMP's, etc.?
	41	5 5	ES 8.Legislative Recommendations	"Establish grant programs for the ongoing operations and maintenance (O&M) of existing flood mitigation and other drainage infrastructure." I get it, politically locals don't want to pay for anything_but would a grant program of this two be more work and headache to aboly for and administer than what its worth?
1	41	Exec Suili	ES 6. Legislative Recommendations	a grant program on this type or units year. In the advance to a program of the advance of the ad
1	41	Exec Sum	ES.8 Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations	number of problems (political, technical skill gaps, funding, consistency, etc.). I have no stake in this, just an opinion.
				"Typical annual precipitation ranges from 38 inches per year near the basin headwaters to 60 inches per year at the mouth". Better description might be, "at the basin's sea-level outlet to Sabine Lake/Gulf of
1	48 52	1	1-1 Intro 1.A.1.b Economic Activity	Mexico". Pie chart could be improved with labels (example on right, expand to view)
1	67	1	1.A.2.b Identification of Flood Prone Areas	"Using these various data sources, it is estimated that approximately 262 square miles, or 2.3% of the watershed, are within potential flood prone areas." Is 2.3% correct?
1	70-71	1	1.A.3.a Historic Events Prior to Current Level of Regulation	Maybe better to say: "On the Angelina River and triburies, peak discharges for, this flood were approximated to be 110,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) near Diboll, 125,000 cfs at Evadale, and 130,000 cfs near
1	70-71	1	1.A.S.a historic events Prior to Current Level of Regulation	
				Might be better to say: "The flood of August 1915 primarily originated with rainfall centered near the city of San Augustine on Ayish Bayou; around 19.8 inches of rainfall fell on the city within a four-day period.
1	71	1	1.A.3.a Historic Events Prior to Current Level of Regulation	Further downstream, this flood also produced the second highest known stager of 34.00 ft at Village Creek near the city of Kountze. Along the Neches River near Evadale, this flood had an estimated peak discharge of 10,000 of swith a flood stage that was estimated to go be 1/20 ft lower than the stager exceeded during the adventmentioned May 1884 flood."
			•	Might be good to start with a preface such as: "Compared with historical norms dating back to 1900, the period of 2005 to 2022 seen a pronounced increase in frequency and severity of tropical flooding along
1	71 72	1	1.A.3.b Historic Tropical Flooding Events 1.A.3.d Damages and Flood Claims: Table 1-9	the Texas Gulf Coast." Note 1: Might be good to add totals for dollar flood damges and # of flood claims. Note 2: Might be good to specify 'Flood Insurance Claims' in column header.
1	84	1	1.A.7.d Local and Regional Flood Plans: Table 1-17	Note 1: Might be good to add ustais for dollar floridon and #01 mood calmis. Note 2: Might be good to good to good to sad ustais for dollar floridon and #01 mood calmis. Note 2: Might be good to goo
1	88	1	Chapter 1.B (intro, bulleted list)	2nd column of bulleted list vertically offset. Also might be good to create two categories, one for natural features, and a separate one for manmade construction
1	92	1	1.B.2.a Dams, Reservoirs, Levees, and Weirs; Table 1-20	Might be good to verify if all these dams have 'flood control' listed as a 'purpose' in their FERC licenses (and specify the ones that do not). For example, Toledo Bend does not list flood control as one of its purposes (water supply, hydroelectric, recreation only, I think).
1	93	1	1.B.2.a Dams, Reservoirs, Levees, and Weirs; Figure 1-15	Seems like this map could show the reservoirs better. Maybe by adjusting their labeling, or maybe by showing their tributary/waterway connections to the Neches.
_	97			At least 2 notable, recent dam failures: 2016 Lake Amanda: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lake_Amanda; 2022 Wildwood Lake Dam/Village Mills: https://www.12newsnow.com/article/news/local/hardin-
1	100	1	1.B.3.a Dam Safety Assessment Table 1-22: EXISTING FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS IN REGION 5 (page 1-54, Row 3)	county-dam-expected-to-breach-soon-wildwood-resort-city/502-431bdx8e-c26-462c-b3eb-f517879ff044 In Table 1-22, on page 1-54, Row 3 from the top can be removed (remove second entry of Hardin County FMA Acquisition)
				In Table 1-22, on page 1-54, Row 4 from the top, Description should change to: "Eliminate flood impacts for 4 properties in Hardin County comprising approximately 44 acres. Parcels will be preserved as open
1	100	1	Table 1-22: EXISTING FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS IN REGION 5 (page 1-54, Row 4)	space for beneficial floodplain functions." In Table 1-22, on page 1-54, Row 5 from the top, Project Name should change to: "Rose Hill Acres Property Acquistion". Description should change to: "Eliminate flood impacts for 6 properties in Rose Hill Acres
1	100	1	Table 1-22: EXISTING FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS IN REGION 5 (page 1-54, Row 5)	in table 122, on page 129, now 1, or joint live day, 10 joint live day
1	106-107	2	2.A.1.a Best Available Data & Figure 2-2	Might want to include mention in narrative (or Figure 2-2) what areas FAFDS and Fathorn is available and are a factor.
				Good comments here, but it seems there is still room for extended discussion of flood forecasting data (existing quality and accuracy in terms of X, Y, Z, T, and P (probability). But it also understood that is a big
1	109 114	2	2.A.1.b Gaps in Inundation Boundaries 2.A.3.a Structures within Flood Hazard Areas	subject. IMO, this topic (improved accuracy of flood risk analysis) should be one of the highest FME priorities for the region. Nice job on this section (and corresponding analysis)! Good choices and utilization of source data.
1	115-116	2	2.A.3.c. Critical Facilities and Public Infra. w/in Flood Hazard Areas & Table 2-4	Very good to include industrial sites (refineries, etc.). "Infrastructure" column of Table 2-4 might be more aptly named 'Industrial Sites'??
1	118 118	2 2	2.A.4.d. Water and Wastewater Treatment 2.A.4.e. Utilities and Energy Generation	This is gotentially very important analysis for Sibbee, Lumberton. Beaumont, Vidor, et al. Sensitive topic however. Flooding = [bas of stability for electrical power line supports (submerged power poles in mud in strong winds). Also, electric substation inundation potential (elevate?).
		2	2.A.4.e. Othices and Energy Generation	
1	120-122	2	2.B.1.a. Sea Level Change and Subsidence	Great job on this! 14.55" since 1960, 3 feet (intermediate projection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)!
1	120-122 123-126	2	2.B.1.a. Sea Level Change and Subsidence 2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes	Great job on this! 14.55" since 1960, 3 feet (intermediate projection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Great job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ?
1 1			2.B.1.a. Sea Level Change and Subsidence 2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Great job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased monor/discernent of fill for building foundations.
1 1	123-126	2	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet intermediate projection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Great job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short harvative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or
1 1 1	123-126	2	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet (Intermediate projection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Great job on this! Land subsidence data is also available.? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.8.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of
1 1 1	123-126 127 133	2 2	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] Friest job on this. Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased mport/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fruture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.8.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure.
1 1 1	123-126 127	2	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet Intermediate projection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Great job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased major/linearing from the project of the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150	2 2 3 3 3	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] First job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133	2 2 2	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Great job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased mport/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fruture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Gis/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150	2 2 3 3 3	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4-A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet Intermediate projection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Great job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of file for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Sill think one of the better things for TWDB/RPSC to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyour/dacquisition as a FME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150	2 2 3 3 3	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (W) potential for 6.3 feet)] First glob on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB,RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substrace.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171	2 2 2 3 3 4	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B.	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased mport/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/carborraphy note: Piner Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical sustance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (Stiglides, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 -	2 2 2 3 3 4 4	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables)	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet Intermediate projection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Great job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased flood exposure. Biocussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Gis/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RPFGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no physical substance. Might I the good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reiterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (studies, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the better thro
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171	2 2 2 3 3 4	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B.	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased mport/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/carborraphy note: Piner Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical sustance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (Stiglides, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 -	2 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/cartography note: Pine-Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still flink one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyourly/acquisition as a FME or FMP.—and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reiterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (Stylider, seearch, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trasi
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192	2 2 2 3 3 4 4	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] First section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased majority from the project of this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFFGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMP, and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that relevances the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFFG. These include evaluations (studies, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose.' Similar peramble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trastitions between each. In addition to preamble, might be good to mention FMP is a very strict definition per TWD
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206	2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.g. Funding Sources	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] First section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of III for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a touch section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (Studies, seezard, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trasitions between each. In addition to preamble, might be good to m
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192	2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this 1.15 since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this 1.15 since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] and a since 1.15 since 1.1
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206	2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMY Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.g. Funding Sources TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT EVALUATION DISTRIBUTION	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] First section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of III for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a touch section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (Studies, seezard, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trasitions between each. In addition to preamble, might be good to m
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.g. Funding Sources	Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Giod table though and though a
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT EVALUATION DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-3: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-3: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-3: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] Freat job on this 1.1 and subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased mport/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSE, deprised with the contractive with the contr
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172- 176- 191 192 206 215 216	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMF Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.g. Funding Sources TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT EVALUATION DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION	Great job on this 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] Freat job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSE, despite with the condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased fluore wSE, despite findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Glis/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reletaretes the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (tigglies, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood miligation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trastitions between each. Including a specific table of infeasible FMSs/FMPs might be result in a 'gro
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225	2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2. Development of Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMF Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased mport/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GiS/Cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMP.—and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reiterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (futiles, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trasitions between each. In addition to preamble, might be good to mention FMP is a very strict definition per TWDB (and thus
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMF Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A.1.b. No Adverse Impact	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GiS/Cartography note: Pine-Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice Job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMP.—and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (futiles, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trastitions between each. Including a specific table of infeasible FMS/FMPs might be result in a 'grouchy' or testy response b
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225	2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2. Development of Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMF Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased mport/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GiS/Cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMP.—and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reiterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (futiles, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trasitions between each. In addition to preamble, might be good to mention FMP is a very strict definition per TWDB (and thus
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMY Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.B. Funding Sources TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A.1.b. No Adverse Impact 7.B.6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans	Great job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)] freat job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GIS/cartography note: Pine-Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still flink one of the better things for TWDB/RFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyourly.acquisition as a FME or FMP.—and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no phylical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reiterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (Studies, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trasition
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225 233 248	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMF Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A.1.b. No Adverse Impact	Great job on this! 14.55* since 1960, 3 feet [Intermediate projection] by 2100 (W) potential for 6.3 feet]! Frest job on this! Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short narrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased mport/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite lack of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.8.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased fluture WSE, despite findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Gis/cartography note: Pine Forest purife triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFOS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquistion as a FMB or FMP.—and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no physical substance. Might it be good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (studies, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose. Similar preamble could be created for FMS; FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trastitions between each. Including
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225 233 248	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMY Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.B. Funding Sources TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A.1.b. No Adverse Impact 7.B.6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans	Great Job on this! 14.55° since 1960, 3 feet (Intermediate projection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! Frest Job on this! Land subsidence data is also available: ? This section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable inclicates higher (or increased) fluture WSEs, despite leads of specific data/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.8.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map, Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Gis/cartography note: Pine Forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TVMD/RFPG5 to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMP
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225 233 248 248	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	2.B. 2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B. 2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B. 2. Development of Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMY Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B. 1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B. 2.g. Funding Sources TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-3: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A. 1.b. No Adverse Impact 7.B.6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans 7.B.6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans Chapter 8.A. Legislative Recommendations	Great Job on this 14.55° since 1980, 3 feet (Intermediate prolection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! For a Job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSE, despite facts of specific data/modes at this time. This also pertains to 2.8.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good mag. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Gis/cartography note: Pine Forecast purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPG5 to consider (ipposable) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMP. and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which mage no phycial substance. Might to be good to speanate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (stuglies, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose.' Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the Tassifica
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225 233 248 248	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMY Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.b. Funding Sources TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A.1.b. No Adverse Impact 7.B.6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans 7.B.6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans 7.B.6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans	Great Job on this J. Land Subsidence data is also available.? This section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSEs, despite lack of specific data/modes at this time. This also pertains to 2.8.4 [Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Gis/cartography note: Pine forest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TVMD/RFFC6 to consider (Iposoble) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a PME or FMPand also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which have no physical substance. Might I the good to separate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reiterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (Studies, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose.' Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the trastitions between each. In addition to preamble, might be good to mention FMP is a very strict definition per TW
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 206 215 216 217 225 233 248 248	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5	2.B. 2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B. 2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B. 2. Development of Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMY Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B. 1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B. 2.B. Funding Sources TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT EVALUATION DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-3: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS DISTRIBUTION 5.D. 3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A. 1.b. No Adverse Impact 7.B. 6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans 7.B. 6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans Chapter 8.A. Legislative Recommendations Chapter 8.A. Legislative Recommendations Chapter 8.C. Flood Planning Recommendations	Great Job on this 14.55° since 1980, 3 feet (Intermediate prolection) by 2100 (w/ potential for 6.3 feet)! For a Job on this Land subsidence data is also available. ? This section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased import/placement of fill for building foundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSE, despite facts of specific data/modes at this time. This also pertains to 2.8.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good mag. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Gis/cartography note: Pine Forecast purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Nice job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Nice job. Once again this is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB/RFPG5 to consider (ipposable) is a reclassification of buyout/acquisition as a FME or FMP. and also keeping FMS limited to institutional or regulatory actions which mage no phycial substance. Might to be good to speanate the FME, FMS, FMP tables with preamble for each that reterates the FMX definition. Example: The following table includes a list of FME's identified by the RFPG. These include evaluations (stuglies, research, investigations), for potential activities with a flood mitigation purpose.' Similar preamble could be created for FMS, FMPs. This would create separation of the tables to better show the Tassifica
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172- 176- 191 206 215 216 217 225 233 248 248 248	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 7 7 7	2.B. 2.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B. 2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B. 2.f. Data Gaps and Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.A. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMY Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B. 1.b. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B. 2.g. Funding Sources TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT EVALUATION DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-3: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS DISTRIBUTION 5.D. 3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A. 1.b. No Adverse Impact 7.B. 6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans 7.B. 6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans Chapter 8.A. Legislative Recommendations Chapter 9.E. Colod Planning Recommendations CHAPTER 9. ELOOD INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING ANALYSIS 9.8 Barriers to Funding	Great job on this I. As 5" since 1960, 3 feet (intermediate projection) by 2100 (w) potential for 6.3 feet)! Frest job on this I. Land subsidence data is also available. ?? This section could maybe benefit from a short harrative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increase. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; 8) increased properties of fill for building (joundations. Discussion for this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased) future WSE, despite lock of specific darky models at the time. This slop pertains to 2.8.4 [Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map. Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. GS/carting-pally note: Pine Florest purple triangle symbol appears to be Hardin Co. (actually Orange Co.) Sike iob. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Rike job. This is a tough section to make clear based on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. FME, FMS, FMP, FMX's, all very tough to methodically and accurately categorize. I still think one of the better things for TWDB, FMFPGS to consider (if possible) is a reclassification of bywourt/acquisition as a FME or FMP
	123-126 127 133 148 150 166-171 172 - 176- 191 192 206 215 216 217 225 233 248 248 248	2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 7 7	2.B.1.b. Sedimentation and Major Geomorphic Changes 2.B.2. Development of Future Condition Floodplains 2.B.2. Development of Future Flood Prone Areas FIGURE 3-1: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: LOW OR UNKNOWN FIGURE 3-2: LEVEL OF FLOODPLAIN MGT PRACTICES: MODERATE OR STRONG Chapter 4.B. Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMX Tables) Chapter 4.B. (FMF Tables) TABLE 4-12: LIST OF POTENTIALLY FEASIBLE FMPS 4.B.1.B. Infeasible FMSs and FMPs 4.B.2.B. Funding Sources TABLE 5-1: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-2: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGY DISTRIBUTION TABLE 5-3: RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS DISTRIBUTION 5.D.3.e Orange County Coastal Storm Risk Management Project 6.A.1.b. No Adverse Impact 7.B.6 Hazard Mitigation Action Plans Chapter 8.A. Legislative Recommendations Chapter 8.C. Flood Planning Recommendations Chapter 8.C. Flood Planning Recommendations Chapter 8.C. Flood Planning Recommendations CHAPTER 9.FLOOD INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING ANALYSIS	Great job on this II. As 5's incer 1960, 3 feet (intermediate projection) by 2100 (w) potential for 5.3 feet)! Freat job on this II. and substence data is also available.? This section could maybe benefit from a short harmative explaining why future development could cause flood WSE increased. Two main reasons are A) increased runoff from impervious surfaces; B) increased fundor provisions of this section is good, since it is such a massive topic when considering the multiple future WSE variables. Might be worth stating that every future WSE forecasting variable indicates higher (or increased future WSE, despite lock of specific days/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased future WSE, despite lock of specific days/models at this time. This also pertains to 2.B.4 (Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis), which in a nutshell is a 'conservative' analysis for a minimum of increased flood exposure. Good map, Remarkable findings (i.e. number of low ratings). Seems accurate though. Glob analyse in the seed of the subsect on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Wile job. One again this is a long section to make deal passed on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Wile job. One again this is a long section to make deal passed on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Wile job. One again this is a long section to make deal passed on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Wile job. One again this is a long section to make deal passed on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Wile job. One again this is a long section to make deal passed on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Wile job. One is a transportation of the passed passed on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Wile job. This is a touch section to make deal passed on the subject matter and prescribed method from TWDB. Wile job.

	RFPG Comments Regarding Legislative Recommendations, Regulatory	and Administrative Recommendations and State Flood Planning Recommendations
Name	Flood Plan Recommendations	Comments
Jerry Cotter	Table 8.1 Legislative	
	Non regulatory regional flood control or drainage districts should be established and funded for rapidly growing urban areas such as DFW, Houston, San Antonio, etc. Responsibility would be to provide consistency, technical resources, funding and reviews in support of FME's, FMS's. These organizations would also implement or support implementation of FMP's. These organizations would augment communities and counties that just don't have the resources and expertise to manage flooding.	Rapidly developing areas surrounding larger urban centers are at greater risk of having runoff patterns increasing because of development. These urban areas are comprised of many communities and unincorporated county areas. Many of the smaller communities are not funded or resourced to deal with the complexities of floodplain management and therefore there is a lack of or inconsistencies in floodplain management practices.
	Clarify the early 2000's state legislation that provide counties the authority to regulate floodplains to explicitly allow and encorage activities associated with floodplain management such as development of land use plans, regulatory authorites, e.g. permitting.	Although state legislation was passed in the early 2000's which gave counties the ability to regulate floodplains, interpretation of these regulations varies widely from county to county. The legislate bill lacks implementation guidance in the form of administrative rules. If development is occuring in unincorporated areas, this development can dynamically impact flood risk.
Jerry Cotter	Table 8.2 Regulatory	
	Require the use of n-values and channel conditions which would likely result if the channel or project were not maintained. Exceptions would be golf courses or other areas where an organization exists which would maintain the channel in perpetuity. Disallow maintence by marginal organizations such as home owners associations to justify acceptance of lower n-values as this is an unrealistric expectation.	When channels are constructed, most often channel bed, banks and overbanks are cleared; however; with many miles of these channels, it is often difficult for communities to maintain those beds, banks and overbanks at their design conditions. Generally, there is a lack of channel maintenance to ensure flood conveyance areas, established as part of a development or improvement projects, to retain their design level n-values. This results in unexpected changes in channel conveyance and increased flooding. Channel maintenance is very expensive activity that can trigger environmenatl permitting requirements.
	No loss of valley storage to the 500-year level. Communities could allow redistribution of valley storage to allow interactions with natural areas but no loss of storage.	Land development in upstream areas increases runoff in downstream areas. This happens because of increased impervious cover and decreased tree cover, and therefore less ability to absorb rainfall. Additionally, development, in most communities, encroaches into riparian areas and decreases the amount of storage available to accommodate flood waters. Just the main thread of the Trinity River though DFW stors more flood waters during of flood than any three of the USACE reservoirs that provide flood protection for DFW. The many other stream provide even more storage than the main stem. There is limited capacity in rivers and streams to convey floodwaters. This means that all areas above any given conveyance point have to stor flood water until sufficient time has laps to pass the water away from the impacted area. The streams are where this water is stored and depleting these storage areas will impact DS areas.
	Establish future land use plans for unincorporated areas associated with rapidly growing urban areas.	
	Use of ultimate development land use conditions in the development of future flows. Require use of future flows for regulation of floodplains and development of FMP's.	
Jerry Cotter	Table 8.3 State Flood Planning Recommendations	
	None	
	Potential FMS	
	Encorage storm shifting to validate 100-yr estimates and to provide a broader understanding of communities actual flood risk Storms identified and cataloged as part of the GLO funded USACE led Texas Storm Study could be the primary source of storms to be shifted.	Notes: Great deal of uncertainty in 100-yr estimates. Use of observed storms that approximately match depth duration data from NOAA Atlas 14 or other precipitation frequency sources validates 100-yr estimates. Additionally wet, dry and average conditions as well as conditions at the time the storm occured can be presented. Additionally, communities have and can experience storms that exceed the 100-yr. While not regulatory, this information will provide additional hazard mitigation data so communities can address critical infrastructure impacts and be better prepared.
	Add detail to Watersshed Hydrology Assessments (WHA) for communities within basins with completed WHA's. The WHA for the Trinity has been completed.	The WHA's, funded by FEMA, are considered the best available flood flow frequency estimates, e.g. 100-yr. These estimates consider the latest precipitation frequencies, the variations in watershed response and determine critical flood drivers by employing a wide range of sensitivity analysis for each computation point.
	Update WHA's when future precipitation frequency estimates become available. Efforts to develop future precipitation frequency estimates for Texas are starting. Establish regional efforts, for large urban centers to develop future land use data for all developing areas, not just	
	encorporated areas, for use in developing future flood flow frequency estimates and future 100-yr (and other recurrence interval) hazard boundaries.	

Table 0-8 outlines the recommended food management standards for "all communities." Since much of the Necket flood risk series in minroperated courty areas, recommended to be applied by Counters want Outlines (Annicophiles). Table 0-8 RECOMMENCID FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS Table 0-8 RECOMMENDING FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS		RFPG #5 Comments on the Neches Dr	
where Mooser, USACE Table 9.8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MAKEAGEMENT STANDARDS Table 9.8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MAKEAGEM	Reviewer Name	Draft Flood Plan Reference	Comments
where Mooser, USACE Table 9.8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MAKEAGEMENT STANDARDS Table 9.8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MAKEAGEM			
terral Mosser, USACE Table 9.8 RECOMMINISTIP FLODO MARAGEMENT STANDANDS In Table 9.8 RECOMMINISTIP FLODO MARAGEMENT STANDANDS: Critical facilities In Table 9.8 RECOMMINISTIP FLODO MARAGEMENT STANDANDS: Critical facilities In Table 9.8 RECOMMINISTIP FLODO MARAGEMENT STANDANDS: Critical facilities In Section 15.8 Livides Legislates Secondaries (in the case of the 50%) will be interested to the company of			=
teles Moser, USACE Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical facilities Table 9-8 Recommendations, alternative standards position from the critical facilities in non-coastal communities and the critical facilities, where subjects to facilities are published for communities and the critical facilities in non-coastal communities and the critical facilities in the critical facilities and the critical facilities and the			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Table 0.8 INCOMMENDED FLODO MANAGEMENT STANDANDS. Cencil Pacilities Table 0.8 INCOMMENDED FLODO MANAGEMENT STANDANDS. Cencil Pacilities Table 0.8 INCOMMENDED FLODO MANAGEMENT STANDANDS. Cencil Pacilities The Control of Control o			by Counties versus Cities & Municipalities.
heleta Moser, USACE Tale De RECOMMINDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS. Critical Seditives In Section 18.8, under registate recommendation, although that registation as a grant of the early 7007, which gave counter the sall-graph consistence and programmendation and interest to the counter of the sall programmend or the registation and the early 7007, which gave counter the sall-graph recommendation and counter to the sall-graph recommendation and the programment of distincts would be to provide register consistency, reclinic and makes by quarter beginning or distincts would be to provide register consistency, and inequalities, and counter to the sall-graph recommendation. In Section 8.8, suggest adding a recommendation which are grant by glighton, seeponsibility of these regional distincts would be to provide register consistency, and inequalities, and counter to the complete of floodplain management. It is sall-graph and review is support of MFs. TMS. These organization would add on the sall-graph recommendation. The commendation of the complete of floodplain management. In Section 8.8, support addings of recommendations. In Section 8.8, support addings of recommendations in the support of MFs. TMS. These organization would be not be commended to the complete of floodplain management. In Section 8.8, support addings of recommendations in the support of MFs. TMS. These organization would be not become of the commendation of the complete of floodplain management. In Section 8.8, support and districts would be supported to the complete of floodplain management of floodplain management of	lelena Mosser, USACE	Table 0-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS	
telera Mosser, USACE Table 0.8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDANDS: Critical Facilities In Section IS 8, under Legislative Recommendations, ultimough state legislation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendations, ultimough state legislation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation, ultimough state legislation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the ability to regislate Recommendation was passed in the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early 2000's which gave courses the early 2000's which gave courses the early 2000's which gave courses the document to the early			
In Section 5.8, ander Logististe Percommendations, athough state legistation was passed in the early 2007's which gave constricts the highest programming interportation of the regulation varies well from county to county. The previous legistation saided my interportation of their regulation to the form of administrative rules. Recommendation studied is soften advance from the State on his counties can regulate their findoplains. In Section 5.8, Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section 5.8, Suggest adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional flood districts would be to provide implement or suggest injuried and funded by state regional more regulated from food districts would be to provide implement or suggest injuried and funded by state regional food districts would be to provide implement or suggest injuried interpolation for MIPS. These organizations would suggest communities and counties that do not have additionable and funded by state regional food districts would be to provide implement or suggest injuried interpolations. Food and suggest and sugg			habitable structures? For Critical Facilities, at least 2-feet above the 500-yr WSE is normally recommended.
In Section 5.8, ander Logististe Percommendations, athough state legistation was passed in the early 2007's which gave constricts the highest programming interportation of the regulation varies well from county to county. The previous legistation saided my interportation of their regulation to the form of administrative rules. Recommendation studied is soften advance from the State on his counties can regulate their findoplains. In Section 5.8, Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section 5.8, Suggest adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional flood districts would be to provide implement or suggest injuried and funded by state regional more regulated from food districts would be to provide implement or suggest injuried and funded by state regional food districts would be to provide implement or suggest injuried interpolation for MIPS. These organizations would suggest communities and counties that do not have additionable and funded by state regional food districts would be to provide implement or suggest injuried interpolations. Food and suggest and sugg			
counters the ability to gestate foodpalms, interpretation of these regulations varies widely from county to county. The previous global certain from the administrative residence and administrative residence accounts to county. The previous global certain from the State on how counties can regulate their floodplains. S. 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section B. 8. Augusts adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional flood districts (or regional drawing districts) affords by established and funded by state legislative. Reportability of these regional flood districts would be to provide regional drawing and review in support of IMEs. Fine or agricultative would be to provide regional flood districts would be provided regional flood districts. The cognition would appear to support proportion, providing and review in support of IMEs. Fine or agricultative would be to provide regional flood districts would be agreed to expend the commendation of IMEs. These organizations would appear and commendations are supported to support of IMEs. Fine organizations would appear and commendations are supported to support proportions or the provided of floodplain management. In Section B. 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section B. 8. Support and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation of the support of IMEs. Fine organization and commendations are control or the commendation of	lelena Mosser, USACE	Table 0-8 RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT STANDARDS: Critical Facilities	
provious legislation acreal displacementation guidance in the form of administrative ratios. Recommend alegislative action that includes specific implementation guidance from the State on how countries can regulate their floodplains. 15.8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations 15. State of the Complete State of the Complet			
elena Mosser, USACE S.S. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section ES. a suggest adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional flood destricts or regional drainage districts, adding a technical and funder by same eight turn. Repositability of these regional flood destricts would be to provide amplianment or support implementation of PAME. These organizations would augment communities and counties that do not have adequate staff, resources and/or expertise to devote to the complexities of flood/plain management. In Section ES. 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section ES. 8. Index Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities and counties regulate this a rinter of no loss of valley storage to the 500 year level for non-coastal areas. Communities could asked to storage of the costing flood/plain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. S.S. 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section ES. 8. Luder Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities and counties regulate this a rinter of no loss of valley storage to the 500 year level for non-coastal areas. Communities and counties regulate this product of the control of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. S.S. 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Figure 2-14, the "NCCHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from 8. A. Steinhage late to note the Reasonatt, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Received from 8. A. Steinhage late to the advanced and the section of the control of the control of the day of the control of the control of the stein reach of the Ageilian River Bood risk as the rest of the watershed. 2.8.2.3 Future Conditions for Large Rivers and Tigute 2.34* In addition to the tools and resources lated in Conditi			
elena Mosser, USACE 5.8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Settion (S. 8., suggest adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional flood districts (or regional distainage districts) should be to provide regional control, which is a state of the setting of these regional controls that control in the setting of the setting flooding or setting of the setting of the setting of the setting flooding or setting of the setting of the setting of the setting flooding or setting of the setting flooding or setting of the setting of			
In Section IS 8, augusts adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional discribes with between finding and reviews in support of RMS, RMS. These organizations would augment communities and counters that do not have adequate staff, resources and/or expertise to devote to the complexities of floodplain management. In Section IS 8, under Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section IS 8, under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations (and the section of the complexities of floodplain management.) In Section IS 8, under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities and counter regulate to the complexities of floodplain management. In Section IS 8, under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities and counter regulate to the complexities of the counter regulate to the complexities of the counter regulate to t			includes specific implementation guidance from the State on how counties can regulate their floodplains.
In Section (FS. Augusts adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional food districts would be provide regional consistency, technical resources, funding and reviews in support of FMFs, FMFs. These organizations would also make a form of the provide regional consistency, technical resources, funding and reviews in support of FMFs, FMFs. These organizations would also make a form of the provide regional consistency, technical resources, funding and reviews in support of FMFs, FMFs. These organizations would also make a district sound be the complexities of floodplain management. Section FSS audior Regulatory and Administrative, Recommendations, suggest, adding a recommendations. In Section FSS audior Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest, adding a recommendation that communities and counties regulate right of the contractive of the section of the counties regulate right of the contractive of the natural and allowed references are allowed by the counties regulated by storage to allow interactions with instantial areas, but no toos of coactive, present and allowed references allowed by the counties regulated by storage of the evision (floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. In Figure 2-14, the *INECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS** is shown to extend from B.A. Storihagen Lake to near fleaturoning. The *INECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS** is shown to extend from B.A. Storihagen Lake to near fleaturoning. The *INECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS** is shown to extend from B.A. Storihagen Lake to near resources a large portion on the cumulative adverse impacts of same Raphum Reservoir. Same Raphum Reservoir, but that the maintained to the same particulate submitted and the same particulated			
In Section (FS. Augusts adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional food districts would be provide regional consistency, technical resources, funding and reviews in support of FMFs, FMFs. These organizations would also make a form of the provide regional consistency, technical resources, funding and reviews in support of FMFs, FMFs. These organizations would also make a form of the provide regional consistency, technical resources, funding and reviews in support of FMFs, FMFs. These organizations would also make a district sound be the complexities of floodplain management. Section FSS audior Regulatory and Administrative, Recommendations, suggest, adding a recommendations. In Section FSS audior Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest, adding a recommendation that communities and counties regulate right of the contractive of the section of the counties regulate right of the contractive of the natural and allowed references are allowed by the counties regulated by storage to allow interactions with instantial areas, but no toos of coactive, present and allowed references allowed by the counties regulated by storage of the evision (floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. In Figure 2-14, the *INECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS** is shown to extend from B.A. Storihagen Lake to near fleaturoning. The *INECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS** is shown to extend from B.A. Storihagen Lake to near fleaturoning. The *INECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS** is shown to extend from B.A. Storihagen Lake to near resources a large portion on the cumulative adverse impacts of same Raphum Reservoir. Same Raphum Reservoir, but that the maintained to the same particulate submitted and the same particulated			
should be established and funded by state legislature of morphishing of these regional flood districts would be to provide regional consistency, before all consistency, before and are reveal is support implementation of FMPS. These organizations would automake that do not have adequate staff, resources and/or expertise to devote to the complexities of floodplain management. In Section TS Bunder Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section FS Bunder Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities could allow existence on loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-castral areas. Communities could allow existence to a loss of valley storage to the storage for the communities could be a loss of the control to the control	Helena Mosser, USACE	ES 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations	
elena Mosser, USACE Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section Es 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, Tx. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservor. Sam Raybu			In Section ES 8, suggest adding a recommendation that non-regulatory regional flood districts (or regional drainage districts)
mplement to support implementation of FMPS. These organizations would augment communities and counties that do not have adequale staff, resources and/or expertise to devote to the complexities of floodpilain management. In Section ES 8 under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations. suggest adding a recommendation that communities and counties regulate tiva criteria of no loss of valley storage to the 500 year level for non-coastal areas. Communities could allow destribution of paliny storage to allow interactions with natural areas, but no loss of storage. Preserving the natural valley storage to the solve are level for non-coastal area. Communities could allow destribution of paliny storage to allow interactions with natural areas, but no loss of storage. Preserving the natural valley storage of the existing floodpilain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. In Figure 2-14, the "NICHES BVITS SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED DISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from 8.A. Steinhagen lake to near Reaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Replum Reservoir. Sam Rep			should be established and funded by state legislature. Responsibility of these regional flood districts would be to provide
the adequate staff, resources and/or expertise to devote to the complexities of floodplain management. Section ESB under Regulatory and Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section ESB under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities and counties regulate to a criteria of no loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-coastal areas. Communities could allow recistribution of yalley storage to allow interactions with natural areas, but no loss of storage. Preserving the natural valley storage of the existing floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse inpacts from future development. Section ESB under Regulatory and Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations Section ESB under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendation storage of the existing floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts of some inpacts of			regional consistency, technical resources, funding and reviews in support of FME's, FMS's. These organizations would also
tave adequate staff, resources and/or expertise to devote to the complexities of floodplain management. ES & Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations			implement or support implementation of FMP's. These organizations would augment communities and counties that do not
elena Mosser, USACE ES 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Section ES 8 under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities and counties regulate tog a circles of no loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-coastal areas. Communities could allow reflective to allow interactions with antural areas, but no loss of storage. Preserving the natural valley storage of the existing floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. ES 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Figure 2.14, the "NECHES RIVER SCGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Reaumont, Tz. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncorrolfold drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake a old and result from the uncorrolfold drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 8.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 8.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 8.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 8.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 8.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 9.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 1.A. Steinhagen Lake to one the flood recommendation was necessary to the steinhagen and mergency reposence in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 1.A. Steinhagen Lake to one the flood recommendatio			have adequate staff, resources and/or expertise to devote to the complexities of floodplain management.
lin Section ES under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities and countries regulate this arteria of no loss of yob year level from non-castal areas. Communities could allow redistribution of yalley storage to allow interactions with natural areas, but no loss of storage. Preserving the natural valley storage of the existing floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. S 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Reaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservoir and Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage area above 8.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future flood scould still result from the uncontrolled drainage area. A more appropriate assumption would be that there is no change to existing conditions for the short reach of the Angelina River below Sam Rayburn Reservoir, but that the maintern Neches River below 8.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 2.8.2.a Future Conditions for Large Rivers and Figure 2.14 In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the inFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (Inters.) Webapps. uses according to the RFP goals, the mapping floorations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NMS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to ma "what it" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the PoST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, r			
elena Mosser, USACE S. 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Egure 2.14, the "NECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from 8.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of some Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservo			
elena Mosser, USACE S. 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Egure 2.14, the "NECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from 8.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of some Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservo	lelena Mosser, USACE	FS 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations	
and counties regulate to a criteria of no loss of valley storage to the 500-year level for non-costal areas. Communities could allow redistribution of giving storage to the interval rareas, but no loss of storage, Preserving the natural valley storage to the interval rareas, but no loss of storage, Preserving the natural valley storage of the existing floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir, Sam Rayburn Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake so near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir, Sam Rayburn Reservoir, sam Rayburn Reservoir, but not the mainstein Neches River below B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood or sk as the rest of the watershed. In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapp.usg.sepv/infm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 31 gage location, into Neches Basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (PPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	In Section ES 8 under Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations, suggest adding a recommendation that communities
allow, redistribution of yalley storage to allow interactions with natural areas, but no loss of storage. Preserving the natural valley storage of the existing floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncontrolled drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncontrolled drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fds/). The FDST contains interfacetive flood incudation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to may "what fif' scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of agas in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 200% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
valley storage of the existing floodplain prevents a large portion of the cumulative adverse impacts from future development. Sa. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations			
elena Mosser, USACE ES 8. Administrative, Regulatory, and Legislative Recommendations In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncontrolled drainage area. A more appropriate assumption would be that there is no change to existing conditions for the short reach of the Angelina River below Sam Rayburn Reservoir, but that the mainstem Neches River below B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infm/dst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVERS REGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservoir conty regulates 45% of the drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncontrolled drainage area. A more appropriate assumption would be that there is no change to existing conditions for the short reach of the Anagiena River below Sam Rayburn Reservoir, but that the mainstem Neches River below B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NNS flood forecast floor emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail on the FPMS programs and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9, A.3.c.			valiety storage of the existing moodplain prevents a large portion of the earliandative development.
In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVERS EGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncontrolled drainage area. A more appropriate assumption would be that there is no change to existing conditions for the short reach of the Angelian River below Sam Rayburn Reservoir, but that the mainsten Neches River below B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infmm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NNS flood forecast for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES			
In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVERS EGMENT WITH MAINTAINED EXISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from B.A. Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncontrolled drainage area. A more appropriate assumption would be that there is no change to existing conditions for the short reach of the Angelian River below Sam Rayburn Reservoir, but that the mainsten Neches River below B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infmm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NNS flood forecast for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES	Jalana Mossar LISACE	ES & Administrative Pegulatory and Legislative Perommendations	
Steinhagen Lake to near Beaumont, TX. This assumption overestimates the impacts of Sam Rayburn Reservoir. Sam Rayburn Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage are are above B.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncontrolled drainage area. A more appropriate assumption would be that there is no change to existing conditions for the short reach of the Angelina River below Sam Rayburn Reservoir, but that the mainstem Neches River below B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to a nation with the standard programment of the standard programme	iciciia iviossci, osmec	Est. Administrative, negatatory, and registative neconfinendations	In Figure 2-14, the "NECHES RIVER SEGMENT WITH MAINTAINED FYISTING CONDITIONS" is shown to extend from R. A.
Reservoir only regulates 45% of the drainage area above B.A. Steinhagen Lake, so large increases in future floods could still result from the uncontrolled drainage area. A more appropriate assumption would be that there is no change to existing conditions for the Angelina River below Sam Rayburn Reservoir, but that the mainstem Neches River below B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapss.usgs.gov/infm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches basin in the PDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to map "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the PDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail on the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
result from the uncontrolled drainage area. A more appropriate assumption would be that there is no change to existing conditions for the short reach of the Angelina River below Sam Rayburn Reservoir, but that the mainstem Neches River below B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. 2.8.2.a Future Conditions for Large Rivers and Figure 2.34 In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current RWS flood forecast for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
elena Mosser, USACE 2.8.2.a Future Conditions for Large Rivers and Figure 2.14 In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicity available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to ma "what it" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			, ,
B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watershed. B.A. Steinhagen Lake would see in future flood increases in future flood place in flood planning flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
elena Mosser, USACE 2.8.2.a Future Conditions for Large Rivers and Figure 2.14 In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to ma "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail on the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to may "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. Elena Mosser, USACE CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail or the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			B.A. Steinnagen Lake would face a similar increase in future flood risk as the rest of the watersned.
In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to may "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. Elena Mosser, USACE CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail or the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to may "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. Elena Mosser, USACE CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
In addition to the tools and resources listed in Chapter 7, the InFRM Flood Decision Support Toolbox (FDST) is another publicly available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to may "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. Elena Mosser, USACE CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.	I-l M USAC5	202 - Fitting Conditions for Large Division and Fitting 244	
available tool that can be used for emergency preparedness and emergency response (https://webapps.usgs.gov/infrm/fdst/). The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to may "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail of the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.	ieiena Mosser, USACE	2.8.2.a Future Conditions for Large Rivers and Figure 2.14	be additionable the trade of th
The FDST contains interactive flood inundation mapping libraries for 13 gage locations in the Neches Basin. The FDST maps automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to ma "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. Possible 1			
automatically update to show the map for the current NWS flood forecast for emergency response, but can also be used to ma "what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. Provide the provided of			
"what if" scenarios for emergency preparedness. As the number of gages in the Neches basin increases according to the RFP goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES			
goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded. General Mosser, USACE CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES			
elena Mosser, USACE CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail o the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail o the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			goals, the mapping locations in the FDST can also be expanded.
In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail o the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail o the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS), which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail o the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			
which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail o the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.	lelena Mosser, USACE	CHAPTER 7 – FLOOD RESPONSE INFORMATION AND ACTIVITIES	
the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			In Table 9-1, under Partnerships with USACE, recommend adding USACE's Floodplain Management Services Program (FPMS),
the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.			which can provide up to 100% in federal funds for floodplain mapping and flood planning related activities. A bit more detail or
elena Mosser, USACE Table 9-1 in Chapter 9			the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.
			the FPMS program and Planning Assistance to States (PAS) programs could also be added under section 9.A.3.c.



P.O. Box 13231, 1700 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3231, www.twdb.texas.gov Phone (512) 463-7847, Fax (512) 475-2053

October 21, 2022

Scott Hall General Manager Lower Neches Valley Authority P.O. Box 5117 Beaumont, Texas 77726-5117

RE: Texas Water Development Board Comments on Region 05 Neches RFPG's Draft Regional Flood Plan Contract No. 210792490.

Dear Mr. Hall:

Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) staff has performed a review of the draft regional flood plan submitted by August 1, 2022, on behalf of the Region 05 Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG). The attached comments will follow this format:

- **LEVEL 1**: Comments and questions that must be satisfactorily addressed to meet specific statute, rule, or contract requirements; and,
- **LEVEL 2**: Comments and suggestions for consideration that may improve the readability and/or overall understanding of the regional flood plan

Please note that while Level 2 comments are provided for the planning group's consideration, Level 1 comments <u>must</u> be addressed prior to the submission of final Regional Flood Plans by the January 10, 2023, deadline.

It is expected that the data contained in all written report sections, tables, excel spreadsheets, and the geodatabase will be consistent throughout. In cases where there are any discrepancies in data, the geodatabase dataset will supersede other data and the TWDB will utilize the geodatabase dataset when developing the state flood plan.

TWDB review of the draft regional flood plans is comprised of many spot checks of data across several deliverables and is not an all-encompassing data review. Please note that TWDB's review does not imply accuracy of the draft regional flood plan. Each RFPG is responsible for ensuring the completeness and accuracy of the plan and all associated data.

To facilitate efficient and timely completion, and Board approval, of your final regional flood plan, please provide your TWDB Regional Flood Planner with a draft of your response to these comments (e.g., informally via email) on the draft RFP as soon as possible. This will allow TWDB staff to provide preliminary feedback on proposed RFPG responses to assist you in meeting your RFPG's timeline for approval and submission to TWDB of the final plan by the deadline. It will also help to minimize the need for subsequent follow-ups after final regional flood plan submission to TWDB.

Our Mission

Board Members



P.O. Box 13231, 1700 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3231, www.twdb.texas.gov Phone (512) 463-7847, Fax (512) 475-2053

Title 31 TAC §361.50(c) requires the regional flood planning group to consider any written or oral Comment received from the public on the draft regional flood plan (RFP); and the EA's written comment on the draft RFP prior to adopting a final RFP. Section 361.50(d) requires the final adopted plan include summaries of all timely written and oral comments received, along with a response, for each, explaining any resulting revisions or why changes are not warranted. Copies of TWDB's Level 1 and 2 written comments and the RFPG's responses must be included in the final, adopted RFP. While the comments included in this letter represent TWDB's review to date, please anticipate the need to respond to additional comments or questions, as necessary, regarding data integrity related to the Board's State Flood Plan Database (that is built from the 15 regional databases), even after submission of the final plan to TWDB.

Standard to all RFPGs is the need to include certain content in the final RFPs that was not yet available at the time that drafts were prepared and submitted. In your final RFP, please be sure to incorporate in the final submitted plan, documentation, for example, that a public meeting to receive comments was held as required and that comments received on the draft RFP were considered in the development of the final plan [31 TAC §361.50(d)].

If you have any questions regarding these comments or would like to discuss your approach to addressing any of these comments, please do not hesitate to contact Richard Bagans at 512-936-0129 or via email at richard.bagans@twdb.texas.gov. TWDB staff are available to assist you in any way possible to ensure successful completion of your final regional flood plan.

Lastly, on behalf of TWDB, I would like to thank you, the sponsor, the RFPG members and the technical consultants for accomplishing this major milestone of a herculean effort and advancing the flood risk reduction mission in our state.

Sincerely,

Reem J. Zoun, PE, CFM, ENV SP Director Flood Planning

Attachment: TWDB Comments

Cc: Judge Jeff Branick , RFPG Chair
Risa Barber, Lower Neches Valley Authority
Jason Afinowicz, Freese and Nichols, Inc.
Rolando Ayala, Freese and Nichols, Inc.
Matt Nelson, TWDB
James Bronikowski, TWDB
Anita Machiavello, TWDB
Richard Bagans, TWDB

TWDB Comments on Region 05 Neches Regional Flood Planning Group's Draft Regional Flood Plan

Level 1: Comments and questions must be satisfactorily addressed to meet statutory, agency rule, and/or contract requirements.

General Comments

- 1. Please ensure that all "Submittal requirements" identified in each of the Exhibit C Guidance document sections are submitted in the final flood plan.
- 2. For all mapbooks with inset maps, please also include a region-wide map displaying the data (e.g., Maps 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 12) [Exhibit C 3.10].

SOW Task 1

- 3. Planning Area Description, Text:
 - a. Section 1.A.4. states that there are 79 cities within the region, however, Section 1.A.1. states that there are 33 incorporated cities. Please review and reconcile as necessary.
 - b. Section 1.A.4. states that 66 municipalities participate in the NFIP, however, Section 1.A.7.a. states that 35 cities and counties utilize ordinances. If a city or county participates in the NFIP, then it must have appropriate ordinances in place. Please review and reconcile as necessary [31 TAC §361.30 (1-7)].
- 4. Entities GIS Feature Class, *Entities*: It appears that some entities crossing regional boundaries do not start with "00" as required. For entities crossing region boundaries, this is expected to start with "00" and 'RFPG_NAME' should be left NULL. For additional entities crossing region boundaries, an ID should be requested from TWDB. ENTITY_IDs should match those provided by TWDB. Regions may create their own IDs for additional entities entirely within the region. Please refer to the <u>Summary of Updates to Exhibit D</u> document available on the TWDB website.
- 5. Existing Projects, Text: Table 1-22 does not appear to include the expected year of completion. Please include the estimated year of completion for existing projects listed in Table 1-22, or include an appropriate table reference in the text of Chapter 1 to where this information is located in Exhibit C Table 2 [31 TAC §361.32].
- 6. Existing Projects GIS Feature Class, *ExFldProjs*:
 - a. It appears that some fields contain invalid entries, including 'COST' and 'COMP_YR'. Please confirm that all NULL values utilized represent either "not applicable" or "unknown". Please ensure all required fields are populated with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 8.
 - b. Please refrain from using numeric placeholders (such as '999999') in numeric fields such as 'COST', 'COMP_YR', and 'EXHAZ_ID' as this causes errors in calculations. Please leave NULL when the field is not applicable or unknown. Please reconcile [31 TAC §361.32].

SOW Task 2A

- 7. Existing Condition Flood Exposure (Exhibit C Table 3): The day and night populations in Table 3 do not appear to match those in the *ExFldExpAll* feature class. Please ensure the population count in Table 3 is the maximum of day and night population. "Population (daytime)" and "Population (nighttime)" columns may be added to the left of "Population" in Table 3 to facilitate this check. Please review and reconcile [31 TAC §361.33 & Exhibit C 2.2.A.3].
- 8. Model Coverage, Text: Existing model coverage within the Flood Planning Region is only discussed relative to models used in FMPs. If more than 3 models exist within the Flood Planning Region, please include a specific, albeit brief, summary in Chapter 2 which summarizes H&H model availability across the region (not only those used in FMPs) [31 TAC §361.33(b)(2)].

SOW Task 3A

- 9. Existing Floodplain Management Practices (Exhibit C Table 6): The count of entities in Table 3-1 is 107, however, Table 6 appears to list 103 entities. Please ensure entries in Table 6 match chapter summary tables and the *ExFpMp* table [31 TAC §361.35 & Exhibit C 2.3.A].
- 10. Existing Floodplain Management Practices GIS Feature Class, *ExFpMp*:
 - a. Please review and confirm entities listed as having flood-related authority. It is not clear that all entities listed have flood-related authority.
 - b. It appears that some fields contain invalid entries, including 'LEV_ENFRC'. Please ensure all required fields are populated with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 20 [31 TAC §361.35 & Exhibit D 3.7].

SOW Task 4B

- 11. Flood Management Evaluations (FME) Map (Exhibit C Map 16): Please indicate on the map whether the identified FME area is associated with a previously studied area that requires an update or if the identified study area does not have any existing or anticipated flood mapping, models, etc., and therefore requires an initial study [31 TAC §361.38(m) & Exhibit C 2.4.B].
- 12. Flood Mitigation Projects (FMP) (Text, Exhibit C Tables 13 & 16, FMP, FMP_Details, FMP_HazPost): It appears that there are inconsistencies in the cost listed in the Chapter 5 Table 5-2, Exhibit C tables, and the geodatabase. For example, FMP_ID 053000003 in Chapter 5 Table 5-2, Exhibit C Tables 13, 16, and the Project Details excel files all list a project cost of \$39,570,866 while the FMP feature class and FMP_Details table list the project cost as \$39,570,860. Please review and ensure data consistency across the plan [31 TAC §361.38(c-e)].

SOW Task 5

- 13. Flood Management Evaluation (FME) Recommendations Map (Exhibit C Map 19): Please indicate on the map whether the identified FME area is associated with a previously studied area that requires an update or if the identified study area does not have any existing or anticipated flood mapping, models, etc., and therefore requires an initial study [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit D 3.10].
- 14. Flood Mitigation Project (FMP) Recommendations: Each recommended FMP must be accompanied with an associated model or supporting documentation to show no negative

impact. Please confirm that this was done and provide reference to supporting materials. As per the draft report (page 4-36), "For structural FMPs and FMSs, signed and sealed reports were checked for certified statements that the associated project or strategy would not cause negative impacts upstream, downstream, or within the project area in events up to and including the 1% ACE flood event. For FMPs and FMSs that certified statements could not be located for, existing H&H models were reviewed to confirm the absence of negative impacts as defined above." For each recommended FMP, please identify in the plan how no negative impact was determined as required by the Exhibit C Section 3.6.A (page 108), either via a model or a study, and submit the associated model or include the study name in tabular format.

15. Flood Mitigation Project (FMP) Recommendations GIS Feature Class, FMP_Details: Please refrain from using numeric placeholders (such as "999999") in numeric fields such as 'REMSTRUC500' as this causes errors in calculations. Please leave NULL when the field is not applicable or unknown. Please ensure valid entries for all required fields per Exhibit D Table 24 [31 TAC §361. 38(c-e)].

Level 2: Comments and suggestions for consideration that may improve the readability and overall understanding of the regional flood plan.

General Comments

16. To better align with our agency's preferred nomenclature, please consider using the name, "Cursory Floodplain Data" instead of "Fathom" or Cursory Fathom Data" throughout the regional flood plan.

SOW Task 1

- 17. Planning Area Description, Text:
 - a. Please consider providing a description of how Low Water Crossings were identified within the text of Chapter 1.
 - b. Please consider including the full list of entities with flood related authority as an appendix.
 - c. Please consider adding more detailed region-specific analysis regarding farming, ranching, and natural resources most impacted by flooding under Section 1.A.6.
- 18. Entities GIS Feature Class, *Entities*: Please consider including the FEMA-assigned community number (6-digit with the first two being "48" for Texas) or CID for entities.
- 19. Watersheds GIS Feature Class, *Watersheds*: Please consider linking this feature class to any relevant FME, FMS, or FMP when appropriate by populating the associated ID fields.
- 20. Previous Studies, Text: Chambers County Master Drainage Plan (Volume I) appears to be listed twice in Table 1-18. Please review.
- 21. Existing Projects Map (Exhibit C Map 2): Please consider revising the map to more easily differentiate the locations and extents of proposed or ongoing projects.

SOW Task 2A

22. Existing Condition Flood Hazard Analysis, Text: Please include total land areas (square miles) of each flood risk by flood risk type, county, region, and frequency as per guidance document (Exhibit C page 24): Submittal requirement number 2.

- 23. Existing Condition Flood Exposure GIS Feature Class, *ExFldExpAll*: If the 'CRITICAL' field contains a 'No' entry, then please leave 'CRIT_TYPE' as NULL.
- 24. Existing Condition Flood Exposure Map (Exhibit C Map 6): Please consider modifying the map to improve legibility.
- 25. Model Coverage (GIS Feature Class *ModelCoverage*, Exhibit C Map 22): Please consider including all models generated or modified to support the development of this regional flood plan.

SOW Task 2B

- 26. Future Condition Flood Hazard Analysis, Text: Please include total land areas (square miles) of each flood risk by flood risk type, county, region, and frequency as per guidance document (Exhibit C page 33): Submittal requirement number 3.
- 27. Future Condition Hazard Map (Exhibit C Map 8): Please consider reviewing certain map elements to avoid obscuring data. For example, the legend appears to cover a portion of the Future Condition Flood Hazard extent on Figure 17 of 29 (Sabine County).
- 28. Future Condition Flood Exposure GIS Feature Class, *FutFldExpAll*: If the 'CRITICAL' field contains a "No" entry, then please leave 'CRIT_TYPE' as NULL.
- 29. Future Condition Flood Exposure Map (Exhibit C Map 11): Please consider modifying the map to improve legibility.

SOW Task 3A

- 30. Existing Floodplain Management Practices, Text: Section 3.A.1. states "cities, counties, and flood districts" have flood-related authority, but the associated Tables 1-12 and 3-1 include water supply and utility districts, and drainage districts, respectively, as having flood-related authority. Please consider reviewing and reconciling as necessary.
- 31. Existing Floodplain Management Practices (Exhibit C Table 6): It appears that some entities listed, including Moore Station, New Chapel Hill, and Browndell, may not be active NFIP participants. Please consider reviewing list of NFIP participants to confirm status.

SOW Task 3B

- 32. Goals, Text: Please consider including region-specific detail on "Transformed and Residual Risk" in addition to defining these terms.
- 33. Goals Table (Exhibit C Table 11): Please consider reviewing Table 11 as some goals state "Long Term (50 years)", whereas the stated target year is "2053". For example, Goal IDs 05000023 and 05000025.

SOW Task 4B

- 34. Streams GIS Feature Class, *Streams*: Please consider linking this feature class to any relevant FME, FMS, or FMP when appropriate by populating the associated ID fields.
- 35. Flood Management Evaluation (FME), Text:
 - a. FME_ID 051000156 description states "Installation of New Culverts." FMEs should generally focus on planning and feasibility study efforts rather than construction. Please consider verifying that all entries should be classified as FMEs and not as FMPs or FMSs.

- b. For some county-wide FMEs it appears that a majority of the county falls outside of the RFPG boundary, for example FME_IDs 051000019 and 051000022. Please consider including justification on how the FME benefits the region and please coordinate with other RFPGs to make sure the efforts are not duplicated.
- c. There are several FMEs that appear to overlap with a TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study, but the TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study does not appear to be listed. For example, FME_ID 05100091 should utilize FIF_ID 40034. FME_ID 05100050 may be a duplication of FIF_ID 40022. Please consider verifying that FMEs do not duplicate efforts of any TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study.
- d. For areas in RFPG with existing FIF, BLE, GLO, or other models and/or ongoing studies, please consider stating how the FME will improve upon the preexisting model/study.
- e. Please consider adding identifiers to all models in the "Model Description" column, for example FIF ID 40022.
- 36. Flood Management Evaluation (FME) GIS Feature Class, *FME*: It appears that some fields may be missing, including 'ASSOCIATED'. Please consider completing all fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 23.
- 37. Flood Mitigation Project (FMP), Text: Please consider including a written list of FMPs that were identified but determined by the RFPG to be infeasible, including the primary reasons for them being infeasible.
- 38. Flood Management Strategy (FMS), Text: Please consider including a written list of FMSs that were identified but determined by the RFPG to be infeasible, including the primary reasons for them being infeasible.

SOW Task 5

- 39. Flood Management Evaluation (FME) Recommendations, Text:
 - a. FME_ID 051000156 description states "Installation of New Culverts." FMEs should generally focus on planning and study efforts rather than construction. Please verify that all entries should be classified as FMEs and not as FMPs or FMSs.
 - b. For some county-wide FMEs it appears that a majority of the county falls outside of the RFPG boundary, for example FME_IDs 051000019 and 051000022. Please include justification on how the FME benefits the region and please coordinate with other RFPGs to make sure the efforts are not duplicated.
 - c. There are several FMEs that appear to overlap with a TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study, but the TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study does not appear to be listed. For example, FME_ID 05100091 should utilize FIF_ID 40034. FME_ID 05100050 may be a duplication of FIF_ID 40022. Please verify that FMEs do not duplicate efforts of any TWDB-funded FIF Category 1 study.
 - d. For areas in RFPG with existing FIF, BLE, GLO, or other models and/or ongoing studies, please consider stating how the FME will improve upon the preexisting model/study.
 - e. Please consider adding identifiers to all models in the 'Model Description' column, for example FIF_ID 40022.
- 40. Flood Management Evaluation (FME) Recommendations GIS Feature Class, FME:

- a. It appears that the field 'ASSOCIATED' is missing from the FME feature class. Please consider adding and populating this field with valid entries per the TWDB broadcast email sent on June 3, 2022.
- b. Please consider populating 'MODEL_DESC' field for clarity on existing studies to be used. Please make sure to document existing or ongoing BLE and TWDB-funded FIF Category 1 studies.

SOW Task 6B

41. Contributions and Impacts to Water Supply, Text: The plan includes a statement that "no anticipated measurable impacts" would occur. Please consider clarifying whether this includes potential measurable impacts (negative or positive) to water availability and/or supply.

SOW Task 7

42. Flood Response Information and Activities, Text: Please consider renaming the header "Hazard Mitigation Action Plans", if appropriate, to the more common term 'Hazard Mitigation Action Plans'.



APPENDIX 10-B RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED ON DRAFT PLAN



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 18, 2022

Cary Dupuy
Regional Director, Texas and Oklahoma
National Parks Conservation Association

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Dupuy,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The recommended standard in Table 0-8 which previously stated that "All municipalities should adopt minimum requirements outlined by FEMA for NFIP participation" will be revised to instead read that "All municipalities should adopt minimum requirements outlined by FEMA for NFIP participation. Where appropriate, consider adopting higher standards to provide higher levels of protection against loss of life and property due to flooding."

Comments regarding the incorporation of nature-based solutions have been noted. TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on nature-based solutions (NBSs) focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement needs with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future regional flood plans.

Comments regarding RFPG goal of incorporating nature-based practices and floodplain preservation have been noted. The goals presented in the RFP were adopted based on discussion held at several Regional Flood Planning Group meetings. These goals were defined to be specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and time-bound.

Comments regarding the discussion of evaluating FME/FMS/FMPs have been noted. Quantifiable flood risk reduction is a TWDB required evaluation for these RFPs. This is attributed to Texas Water Code Section 16.061, which states that the state (regional) flood plan must protect against the loss of life and property. Additionally, quantifiable flood risk reduction is one of 16 different criteria that will be evaluated by TWDB when ranking FMPs in the state flood plan. Other criteria include the percentage of the project based on NBSs by project cost and environmental benefits. The RFPG does not rank projects in their respective plans but recommends them for inclusion in the

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

overall state flood plan. The NRFPG agrees that floodplain preservation and nature-based approaches are important elements and have been incorporated as goals, recommended standards, and additional regional flood planning recommendations.

Comments regarding the disconnect between legislative recommendations and action included in the plan have been noted. FMEs, FMSs, and FMPs evaluated were identified from a variety of sources including but not limited to federal funding applications, hazard mitigation plans, past flood studies, drainage master plans, capital improvement programs, and other contributions from stakeholders/sponsors. The NRFPG welcomes additional evaluations, strategies, and projects for potential inclusion in the RFP from any potential sponsors.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon
Executive Committee
Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 18, 2022

Marty Kelly

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Kelly,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

Comments regarding the inclusion of nature-based solutions in the identified FMSs and FMPs in the region have been noted. FMEs, FMSs, and FMPs evaluated in the plan were identified from a variety of sources including but not limited to federal funding applications, hazard mitigation action plans, past flood studies, drainage master plans, capital improvement programs, and other contributions from stakeholders/sponsors. The NRFPG welcomes additional evaluations, strategies, and projects for potential inclusion in the RFP from any potential sponsors. Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on nature-based solutions (NBS) focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single statewide manual for Texas communities. This can be used to help sponsors in the development of FMEs, FMSs, and FMPs for inclusion in the plan.

Comments regarding future coordination between TPWD and the NRFPG have been noted. The NRFPG welcomes coordination with TPWD to ensure that conservation goals for species native to the region are met while working to reduce the negative impact of flooding to life and property.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Mary Bernard Big Thicket Biosphere Reserve Lumberton, Texas

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Bernard,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 Regional Flood Plan.

Comments regarding the incorporation of nature-based applications have been noted. The NRFPG agrees that nature-based applications important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Neches RFP. Some of these examples include:

- The incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as one of the Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goals covered in Chapter 3.
- A recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development, included in Chapter 8.
- The recommendation of several FMEs, listed in Appendix 5-B, that include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on nature-based solutions (NBS) focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement needs with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future regional flood plans.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Sandra B. Ramos Texas Coastal Program Manager National Parks Conservation Association

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Ramos,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

Comments regarding the incorporation of nature-based projects have been noted. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Neches RFP. Some of these examples include:

- The incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as one
 of the Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goals covered in Chapter
 3.
- A recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development, included in Chapter 8.
- The recommendation of several FMEs, listed in Appendix 5-B, that include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Desiree Lege

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Lege,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

Comments regarding the RFPG goal of using larger storm events as the basis of design for flood infrastructure projects have been noted. The goals presented in the RFP were adopted based on discussion held at several NRFPG meetings. These goals were defined to be specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and time-bound.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr.

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Alice Russell 620 W 19th St Houston, TX 77008

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Russell,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Alison Abbott 23613 Youpon Lake Ln Spring, TX 77373

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Abbott,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Alyssa Melton 903 Briarcliff Ct Arlington, TX 76012

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Melton,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Amber Haseltine 8906 Pocono Cove Austin, TX 78717

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Haseltine,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Andrea Christgau 1505 Lost Lake Dr Keller, TX 76248

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Christgau,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Andres Venegas 413 De Leon Dr El Paso, TX 79912

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Venegas,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Andrew Jackson 14131 Bishop Bend Ln Houston, TX 77047

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Jackson,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Angela Wilkinson 149 Village Green Universal City, TX 78148

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Wilkinson,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Bari Brookman 6391 Hilldale Ct Fort Worth, TX 76116

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Brookman,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Ben Liles 11116 Salado Springs Cir Salado, TX 76571

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Liles,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Benjamin Garrett 108 Drew Ln Heath, TX 75032

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Garrett,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Bonni Scudder 1606 Discovery Blvd Cedar Park, TX 78613

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Scudder,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Briana Schroeder 7821 Crystalbrook W Austin, TX 78724

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Schroeder,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Bridgett Rexford 226 Rainbow Dr Livingston, TX 77399

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Rexford,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Carol Clark 8495 91st Terrace Seminole, FL 33777

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Clark,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Carolyn Nieland 415 Oakwood Dr Alamo, TX 78516

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Nieland,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Catherine Lacroix 2444 Lakeshore Dr Grapevine, TX 76051

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Lacroix,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Cathy Simmons 3306 Cherrywood Rd Austin, TX 78722

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Simmons,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Chad Fuqua 3411 Springrock Ln Houston, TX 77080

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Fuqua,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Chantal Eldridge 6526 Needham Ln Austin, TX 78739

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Eldridge,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Cheryl Robison 3820 Pershing Ave Fort Worth, TX 76107

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Robison,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Chris Brunner 403 Spring Leaf Ct Allen, TX 75002

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Brunner,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Christian Richer 7417 Venice Dr Corpus Christi, TX 78413

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Richer,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Christine Lockhart 24360 Lake Dr Porter, TX 77365

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Lockhart,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Cindy Laird 219 Main St Haslet, TX 76052

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Laird,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Claire Bush 1214 Norwood Rd Austin, TX 78722

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Bush,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Cody Winstead 711 W Camellia St Tyler, TX 75701

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Winstead,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Corinne Pilon 1910 Bevington Oaks Cir Katy, TX 77450

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Pilon,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Corrine Alcantar PO Box 18445 San Antonio, TX 78218

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Alcantar,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Dallas Windham 2101 S Hill Dr Irving, TX 75038

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Windham,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Dan Roark 14434 Sunrose Ln Farmers Branch, TX 75234

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Roark,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Dave Cross 116 Schooner Dr Lakeway, TX 78738

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Cross,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Deanna Pena 9027 Concho St Houston, TX 77036

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Pena,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Deborah Dewey 15806 Twisting Springs Dr Cypress, TX 77433

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Dewey,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Deborah Zarett 8127 Sunshine Trail Dr San Antonio, TX 78244

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Zarett,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Debra Atlas 1413 W 6th St Weslaco, TX 78596

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Atlas,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Dennis Harper PO Box 1111 Fulshear, TX 77441

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Harper,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Diana Williams 304 Park Meadow Way Coppell, TX 75019

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Williams,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Don Barnhill 4438 Grove Park Dr League City, TX 77573

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Barnhill,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Donald Cook 7954 Glenheath St Houston, TX 77061

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Cook,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Dora Rushing 11755 Spring Club Dr San Antonio, TX 78249

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Rushing,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Doug Young 9410 Cam Venado Helotes, TX 78023

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Young,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Dr. Fielder 2234 Carmel Dr Carrollton, TX 75006

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Dr. Fielder,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Ed Perry 1532 Rosewood Terrace New Braunfels, TX 78132

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Perry,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Edith Brown 4204 Esters Rd Irving, TX 75038

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Brown,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Eileen Mckee 5815 McCommas Blvd Dallas, TX 75206

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Mckee,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Elizabeth Waddill 2301 Winton Terrace W Fort Worth, TX 76109

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Waddill,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Ellen Isaly 2923 Rambling Dr Dallas, TX 75228

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Isaly,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Francisco Salazar 214 N Walnut St El Paso, TX 79901

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Salazar,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Fred Grimes 3406 Green Tree Park Houston, TX 77007

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Grimes,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Garry Kramchak 8542 Dairy View Ln Houston, TX 77072

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Kramchak,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Gary Graham 11144 Tammy Cir Plantersville, TX 77363

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Graham,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Geanda Guidry 201 E 21st St Austin, TX 78705

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Guidry,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

George Holmgreen 3505 Abes Landing Dr Granbury, TX 76049

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Holmgreen,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Gloria Gannaway 3002 Oak Park Dr Austin, TX 78704

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Gannaway,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Glory Arroyos 3100 Garden Villa Ln Austin, TX 78704

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Arroyos,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Greg Sells 3300 Parker Ln Austin, TX 78741

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Sells,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Hank Hammett 616 Blaylock Dr Dallas, TX 75203

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Hammett,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Heather Petkovsek 2308 Townes Ln Austin, TX 78703

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Petkovsek,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

James Klein 3501 Monterrey St Corpus Christi, TX 78411

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Klein,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

James Patak 3009 Linda Dr Ennis, TX 75119

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Patak,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jane Van Praag 131 N Evie St Bartlett, TX 76511

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Praag,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Janet Delaney 5406 Western Hills Dr Austin, TX 78731

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Delaney,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jay Crail 3320 Lake Trail Dr Lancaster, TX 75146

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Crail,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jay Silver 422 Dockside Ct Sugar Land, TX 77478

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Silver,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jeanette Honermann 111 W Jones Ave San Antonio, TX 78215

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Honermann,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jeff Hoffmann 4292 Bass Pro Dr Garland, TX 75043

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Hoffmann,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jennifer Bowen-Shauver 1322 Arrow Hill San Antonio, TX 78258

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Bowen-Shauver,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jennifer Holburn 8871 Liptonshire Dr Dallas, TX 75238

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Holburn,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jerell Lambert 2617 Crownspoint Dr Austin, TX 78748

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Lambert,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Jerry Morrisey 19631 Encino Way San Antonio, TX 78259

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Morrisey,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Joanne Burrows 11411 Briar Rose Dr Houston, TX 77077

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Burrows,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Joanne Groshardt 302 Trailridge Dr Richardson, TX 75081

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Groshardt,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

John McIntosh 4622 Stillbrooke Dr Houston, TX 77035

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. McIntosh,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Joyce Ford 9325 R J Wood Rd El Paso, TX 79924

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Ford,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Joychine Eaglin 1110 W Brompton Dr Pearland, TX 77584

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Eaglin,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Juanita Romero 1233 Elaine Pl Fort Worth, TX 76106

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Romero,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Judith Cherry 761 Trinity Hills Dr Austin, TX 78737

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Cherry,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Judy Harman 2222 Winton Terrace E Fort Worth, TX 76109

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Harman,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Julie Sears 320 Canyon Ridge Dr Richardson, TX 75080

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Sears,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Karen Berning 3612 E Washburn Ave Fort Worth, TX 76107

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Berning,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Karen Kawszan 19206 Holly Shade Ct Spring, TX 77379

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Kawszan,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Karl Fickling 4720 Lincolnshire Dr Grand Prairie, TX 75052

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Fickling,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Kaveri Ray 2626 Madeline Grove Dr Houston, TX 77008

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Ray,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Keely McLeod 3009 Yoakum St Fort Worth, TX 76108

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. McLeod,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr.

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Kelly Massey 421 W Tanglewood Dr New Braunfels, TX 78130

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Massey,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Kim George 330 W Spinner Rd Desoto, TX 75115

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. George,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Kimberly Allen 18208 Preston Rd Dallas, TX 75252

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Allen,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Ladonna Martin 405 Whalin Ln Fort Worth, TX 76126

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Martin,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Larry Gay 2223 Postoak Ct San Antonio, TX 78248

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Gay,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Laura L. Vera 2731 Mary Ln Dickinson, TX 77539

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Vera,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Leslie Arceneaux 2422 Brookdale Dr Houston, TX 77339

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Arceneaux,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Leslie Lee 6030 Prospect Ave Dallas, TX 75206

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Lee,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Leslie Richardson 387 Covent Dr Kyle, TX 78640

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Richardson,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Linda Mitchell 105 River Tree Cove Georgetown, TX 78628

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Linda Reynolds 2519 W Kiest Blvd Dallas, TX 75233

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Reynolds,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Linda Schmalstieg 2429 Bissonnet St Houston, TX 77005

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Schmalstieg,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Lisa Renzelmann 301 N Ray Roberts Pkwy Tioga, TX 76271

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Renzelmann,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Lori Hester 5001 N MacArthur Blvd. Warr Acres, OK 73122

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Hester,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Marce Walsh 5326 Foresthaven Dr Houston, TX 77066

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Walsh,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Mark Olinger 840 County Rd 2920 Pittsburg, TX 75686

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Olinger,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Mary Hancock 9124 Riverfalls Dr Fort Worth, TX 76118

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Hancock,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Mary Thornton 3901 Race St Fort Worth, TX 76111

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Thornton,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Melinda Smiljanic 3122 Morrison St Houston, TX 77009

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Smiljanic,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Memfis Madyun 709 Saddlebrook Dr Desoto, TX 75115

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Madyun,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Michael Earney 2700 Del Curto Rd Austin, TX 78704

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Earney,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Michael Spradlin 4610 Shavano Birch San Antonio, TX 78230

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Spradlin,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Morris Narunsky 7650 Streamside Dr Houston, TX 77088

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Narunsky,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Nicholas Gonzales 731 Sycamore Moon San Antonio, TX 78216

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Gonzales,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Nicole Allison 717 Red Wing Dr Lewisville, TX 75067

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Allison,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Nina Davis 30 Muirfield Greens Ln Lakeway, TX 78738

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Davis,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Pam Sohan 222 Autumn Chase New Braunfels, TX 78132

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Sohan,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Pamela Askew 6944 Santa Maria Ln Dallas, TX 75214

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Askew,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Pamela Vangiessen 2604 White Oak Dr Houston, TX 77009

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Vangiessen,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Pat LaStrapes 9703 Santa Monica Blvd Houston, TX 77089

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. LaStrapes

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Pat Perry 923 Haden St Tyler, TX 75701

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Perry,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Phil Shephard 3301 Broken Spoke Trail Georgetown, TX 78628

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Shephard,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Polly Martin 6424 Central City Blvd Galveston, TX 77551

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Martin,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Priscilla Flores 6238 Arch Bridge Dr El Paso, TX 79934

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Flores,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

R. M. 3 Laguna Madre Dr Laguna Vista, TX 78578

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear R.M.,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Rajesh lyer 101 Cascada Lane Round Rock, TX 78681

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Iyer,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Robert Yowell 2207 Landscape Way Richmond, TX 77406

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Yowell,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Roberto Molina 6611 Stonecross Creek Ln Katy, TX 77449

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Molina,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Rosalyn Forster 2215 Running Springs Dr Humble, TX 77339

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Forster,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Sabine Williams 3502 Lakecrest Dr Killeen, TX 76549

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Ms. Williams,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan
Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business



Neches Regional Flood Planning Group

c/o Lower Neches Valley River Authority 7850 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77708 Telephone 409-892-4011

November 30, 2022

Sahand Naghavi 3231 Allen Pkwy Houston, TX 77019

Re: Comments on Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Naghavi,

The Neches Regional Flood Planning Group (NRFPG) has received and reviewed your comments on the Draft Region 5 Neches 2023 Regional Flood Plan (RFP). The NRFPG appreciates your input in the public process associated with development of the 2023 RFP.

The NRFPG agrees that nature-based solutions (NBSs) are important to consider when evaluating flood mitigation and management measures. The promotion of nature-based projects is covered in various sections of the Draft RFP. Some of these examples include:

- Incorporation of nature-based practices and floodplain preservation as a stated goal.
- Recommendation to promote nature-based projects for new development.
- Several recommended FMEs include the evaluation of nature-based solutions as conceptual alternatives.

Additionally, TWDB is initiating a project to develop a guidance manual on NBSs focused on flood mitigation in different regions of Texas. The goal of this project is to synthesize available guidance on the use of nature-based flood mitigation solutions into a single, statewide manual for Texas communities. The intent is to help address flood risk, water quality, groundwater recharge, habitat improvement, and community enhancement goals with either independent NBSs or in combination with traditional flood mitigation infrastructure. This guidance will be referenced in the development of future RFPs.

Thank you again for providing your comments on the Draft RFP.

Sincerely,

Neches Regional Flood Planning Group Technical Consultant Team

Judge Jeff Branick Chairman Counties

Josesph Majdalani, Ph.D., P.E. Vice Chairman Water Districts

Scott Hall, P.E. Secretary River Authorities

Liv Haselback, Ph.D., P.E. Executive Committee Electric Generating Utilities

Steve Moon Executive Committee Industries

Brent Heironimous

Agricultural Interests

Ellen Buchanan Environmental Interests

Phil Kelley Flood Districts

Kyle Kingma Municipalities

John Beard, Jr. *Public*

Brian McDougal Small Business